



How to Launch an ETF: A Breakdown of Expenses

Discover how much it costs to launch an ETF, including startup fees, seed capital, legal costs, and annual expenses.

Twin Oak Editorial Team

Dec 17, 2025

Launching an exchange-traded fund (ETF) can be one of the most exciting ways for asset managers, advisors, and entrepreneurs to scale an investment strategy, but it's also an expensive and highly regulated endeavor. From SEC filings to seed capital and marketing, the costs of starting an ETF can vary dramatically based on structure, strategy, and scope.

This guide breaks down the key costs involved in starting an ETF, explains what drives those expenses, and outlines how managers can determine whether launching a fund makes financial sense.

The Key Components of ETF Startup Costs

ETF creation involves multiple cost categories, many of which are mandated by regulators or the exchange where the ETF is listed. The main components include:

- **Legal and Regulatory Filings:** Registering an ETF with the SEC and preparing the prospectus is one of the largest upfront expenses, sometimes exceeding \$200,000. Legal counsel ensures the fund complies with the Investment Company Act of 1940 and exchange listing requirements.
- **Fund Administration and Custody:** Every ETF needs a custodian to hold assets and an administrator to handle NAV calculation, reporting, and shareholder services. This, too, can run into the six figures per year.
- **Exchange Listing and Index Licensing (if applicable):** Passive ETFs must license the index they track. Active ETFs skip this fee but require additional compliance filings and portfolio transparency protocols.
- **Auditing and Compliance:** Annual audits and regulatory filings are mandatory, and must be prepared by a professional.
- **Seed Capital:** Generally, at least \$250,000 is required to launch an ETF, but the greater amount of seed capital, the easier it is to market the fund to new investors.
- **Marketing and Distribution:** Perhaps the most underestimated cost, marketing determines whether your ETF gains traction. Although the cost is entirely subjective, hiring a marketing firm can be expensive.
- **Technology and Trading Infrastructure:** Portfolio management systems add additional setup expenses, depending on the systems used.

A standalone ETF can cost over \$250,000 in upfront expenses to launch (and potentially more, depending on complexity), and upwards of \$250,000 per year in ongoing expenses. It's important to work with experienced parties to ensure costs are in control during the implementation process.

How Strategy, Complexity, and Scale Affect Cost

Several key factors determine how expensive your ETF will be:

- **Scale:** Larger funds enjoy economies of scale. Many fixed costs are similar for a \$10 million fund and a \$1 billion fund, meaning expenses become proportionally smaller as AUM grows.
- **Active vs. Passive:** Active ETFs tend to be more costly because they require daily portfolio management and more intensive compliance oversight. Passive funds, while cheaper, may pay recurring index licensing fees.
- **Asset Complexity:** ETFs holding derivatives, international securities, or illiquid assets (like commodities or private credit) face higher custody and compliance costs.

Breakeven Points and Minimum AUM for Viability

The rule of thumb among ETF issuers is that you need at least \$50 million in AUM to break even.

Why? Most funds charge management fees between 0.25% and 0.75%. Simple math breaks down the rest: At \$50 million AUM with a 0.50% fee, annual revenue is about \$250,000, which is enough to cover basic administrative, legal, and compliance expenses. At Twin Oak, our typical fund launch has averaged \$150,000 in day-one assets, and has grown steadily from there.

Many new ETFs fail to attract sufficient assets and are liquidated within three years, underscoring the importance of both scale and distribution.

Risks of Underfunding or Cutting Corners

Trying to "go cheap" when launching an ETF can backfire. Common pitfalls include inadequate marketing (an ETF can't grow if no one knows it exists),

weak legal oversight (regulatory stumbles can increase cost and impart delays), insufficient seed capital, and operational bottlenecks (which can damage credibility with investors and clients).

Ultimately, an ETF is both a financial product and a business that requires proper capitalization, strategy, and long-term planning to succeed. At Twin Oak, we work with our clients and vendors to keep costs in check while still providing institutional partnerships, sophisticated tools, and aligned outcomes to meet client goals.

Are White-Label ETF Platforms a Lower-Cost Option?

For many first-time issuers, white-label ETF platforms (also called ETF turnkey providers) offer a streamlined, lower-cost path. They can provide regulatory, legal, and operational infrastructure so issuers can focus on investment strategy and distribution. These ETF-in-a-box solutions can streamline the start-up phase of an emerging ETF issuer.

For example, launching via a white-label platform typically costs \$100,000–\$250,000 upfront, versus \$500,000+ independently. Moreover, you can launch in as little as 3–6 months instead of 9–18 months.

In return, you'll share your revenue, have less control over branding, and be forced to utilize their platforms and vendors. For emerging managers or niche strategies, the trade-off often makes sense, as it's a viable way to test investor demand before scaling up to a standalone fund.

But not all third parties are created equal. It's crucial to find a true partner, not just a service provider.

Should You Launch Your Own ETF?

Launching an ETF can be a powerful way to scale an investment philosophy and build a brand. But it's not cheap. Expect several hundred thousand dollars in setup costs and at least \$250,000 per year to operate sustainably.

Your odds of success improve dramatically with a clear distribution plan, adequate seed capital, and a credible track record that can attract investors.

You can accelerate your start-up plan, and lower costs, by partnering with a white-label provider. But the key is to view your ETF not just as a fund, but rather as a start-up business that demands strategy, funding, and flawless execution to thrive.

Definitions (as used by Twin Oak):

- 1. Cost-effective ETFs:** As defined compared to hedge funds, which commonly charge a 2% management fee as well as 20% of the profits.
- 2. Institutional Grade:** Investment strategies generally only accessible in private fund formats to accredited investors.
- 3. Tax Alpha:** The amount of a gross return lost to the tax drag of an investment vehicle through realized taxable events.
- 4. Low Cost:** Defined on a case-by-case basis but generally refers to solutions that are cheaper than private funds (2% management fees and 20% carry).

An investor should consider the investment objectives, risks, charges, and expenses of the Fund(s) carefully before investing. The prospectus and the summary prospectus contain this and other information about the Fund(s). You may obtain a prospectus and a summary prospectus by visiting twinoaketfs.com. Please read the prospectus or summary prospectus carefully before investing.

All investments are subject to risks, including the possible loss of principal. There is no assurance that the objectives of any strategy or fund will be achieved or will be successful. PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS; there is no guarantee that Twin Oak will be able to successfully implement the strategy.

- 1. New fund risk:** The Fund is a newly organized, management investment company with no operating history.
- 2. Non-diversification risk:** More of the Fund's assets may be invested in the securities of a single issuer than could be invested in the securities of a single issuer by a diversified investment company. This may make the value of the Shares more susceptible to certain risks than shares of a diversified investment company. The Fund has a greater potential to realize losses upon the occurrence of adverse events affecting a particular issuer.
- 3. Counterparty Risk:** Some of the Fund's derivatives contracts are privately negotiated in the over-the-counter market. A counterparty defaulting on its payment obligations to the Fund will cause the value of an investment in the Fund to decrease.
- 4. Derivatives Risk:** The Fund may use derivative contracts which can disproportionately increase losses and reduce opportunities for gains when stock prices, currency rates, or interest rates are changing.
- 5. Illiquid Securities Risk:** The Fund may invest up to 15% of its net assets in illiquid investments which are instruments that the Fund reasonably expects cannot be sold or disposed of in current market conditions within 7 calendar days or less without the sale or disposition significantly changing the market value of the investment.
- 6. Leverage Risk:** The Funds use of options, swaps, or other derivative instruments may result in losses to the Fund or may adversely affect the Fund's NAV or total return, because these instruments are more sensitive to interest rate changes.
- 7. Options Risk:** Purchasing and writing put and call options are highly specialized activities and entail greater than ordinary investment risks from which the Fund may not fully benefit.
- 8. New advisory risk:** This is a newly registered investment advisory and as a result, there is no long-term track record against which an investor may judge the Adviser, and it is possible the Adviser may not achieve the Fund's intended investment objective.

The contents of this document are confidential and proprietary, are furnished exclusively for the use of the person to whom it is provided directly by Twin Oak ETF Company, and may not be copied, disclosed, distributed or used in any way by the person to whom it was provided or by any other party without the express prior written permission of Twin Oak ETF Company (herein, "Twin Oak"). Nothing herein constitutes or should be construed as an offering of securities or a recommendation to purchase or sell securities. The information contained herein is in summary form and is for informational and discussion purposes only. Twin Oak makes no representations or warranties as to the accuracy, timeliness or completeness of the information set forth herein. Information that is currently accurate may become inaccurate at a subsequent date, and Twin Oak does not undertake to update or correct such information.

Certain information contained in this document constitutes "forward-looking statements," which can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "anticipate," "project," "estimate," "intend," "continue," or "believe," or the negatives thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology. Any projections, market outlooks or estimates in this document are forward-looking statements and are based upon certain assumptions. These assumptions may prove to be wrong in hindsight. Other events which were not taken into account may occur and certain risks may materialize, which significantly affect the returns or performance of Twin Oak and the clients it advises. Due to such risks and uncertainties, actual events, results or the actual performance of investments by Twin Oak or clients it advises may differ materially from those reflected or contemplated in such forward-looking statements. Nothing contained herein may be relied upon as a guarantee, promise, assurance or a representation as to the future. Certain information included in this document represents the observations and subjective views of Twin Oak. Industry participants may reasonably disagree with these observations and views. Predictions, opinions and other information contained herein are subject to change continually and without notice of any kind. The information contained herein should only be read in conjunction with, and is subject in all respects to, the notes set forth throughout this document in the footers.

There is no guarantee that the investment objective of Twin Oak or clients it advises will be achieved. As with any investment, an investment in a strategy advised by Twin Oak includes a potential for profit as well as the possibility of loss. Nothing provided in this constitutes investment, legal, or tax advice. Individuals should seek the advice of their own advisor(s) for specific information regarding risks of investing. Investments in securities entail risk and are not suitable for all investors. Quasar Distributors, LLC, Member FINRA is the distributor for the Twin Oak ETFs. Learn more about Quasar Distributors, LLC at FINRA's BrokerCheck Twin Oak ETF Company, serves as the investment adviser to the Funds. Twin Oak ETF Company is not affiliated with Quasar Distributors, LLC.